Harnessing Nature's Defenders: Microbial Control of Insect Pests

Suma C Kammar
Senior Research Fellow, Food Microbiology Laboratory, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur
E mail – suma.kammar@gmail.com

Introduction:

In the battle against agricultural pests, chemical pesticides have long been the weapon of choice. However, concerns over their environmental impact, ecological disruption, and the development of pesticide resistance have led researchers to explore alternative methods of pest control. One such approach gaining traction is microbial control, harnessing the power of naturally occurring microorganisms to combat insect pests. In this article, we delve into the fascinating world of microbial control, exploring the diverse array of microbes employed, their mechanisms of action, and their applications in integrated pest management strategies.

Understanding Microbial Control:

Microbial control involves the use of living organisms, primarily bacteria, fungi, viruses, and protozoa, to suppress insect pest populations. These microbial agents can act as pathogens, parasites, or predators of insect pests, disrupting their development, reproduction, or behavior and ultimately reducing their numbers in agricultural systems.

1. Bacterial Agents:

Bacterial agents used for microbial control include Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt), a soil-dwelling bacterium that produces insecticidal proteins known as delta-endotoxins. These toxins are ingested by susceptible insect larvae, causing damage to their midgut epithelial cells and ultimately leading to their death. Bt-based insecticides are widely used to control caterpillar pests such as the European corn borer, cabbage looper, and diamondback moth.

2. Fungal Agents:

Fungal agents employed for microbial control include species of Beauveria, Metarhizium, and Lecanicillium, which are entomopathogenic fungi capable of infecting and killing a wide range of insect pests. These fungi produce spores that adhere to the insect's cuticle, germinate, and penetrate the insect's body, releasing toxins and enzymes that degrade its internal tissues. Fungal infections can lead to rapid mortality of insect pests, making them effective biocontrol agents for aphids, thrips, whiteflies, and other insect pests.

3. Viral Agents:

Viral agents used for microbial control include nucleopolyhedroviruses (NPVs) and granuloviruses (GVs), which are insect-specific viruses that infect and kill their hosts. NPVs and GVs are highly host-specific and typically exhibit narrow host ranges, making them ideal candidates for targeted insect pest control. These viruses replicate within the insect's body, causing systemic infection and eventual death, often leading to epizootic outbreaks in pest populations.

4. Protozoan Agents:

Protozoan agents utilized for microbial control include species of Nosema and Vairimorpha, which are microsporidian parasites that infect and kill insect pests. These protozoa invade the insect's gut epithelial cells, proliferate within the host tissues, and disrupt normal physiological

functions, leading to host morbidity and mortality. Protozoan infections can be effective against a wide range of insect pests, including caterpillars, beetles, and grasshoppers.

Mechanisms of Action:

Microbial control agents exert their effects on insect pests through a variety of mechanisms, including:

- Direct ingestion: Insecticidal proteins produced by bacteria (Bt toxins) and viruses (NPVs, GVs) are ingested by susceptible insect larvae, leading to disruption of gut epithelial cells and systemic infection.

- Contact infection: Fungal spores adhere to the insect's cuticle and germinate, penetrating the insect's body and releasing toxins and enzymes that degrade internal tissues.

- Parasitism: Parasitic microorganisms (protozoa, parasitic wasps) infect and develop within the host's body, disrupting normal physiological functions and ultimately causing host mortality.

- Horizontal transmission: Microbial control agents spread within insect populations through direct contact, ingestion of contaminated food or water, or environmental contamination, leading to rapid dissemination and population suppression.

Applications in Integrated Pest Management:

Microbial control plays a crucial role in integrated pest management (IPM) strategies, which aim to minimize reliance on chemical pesticides and promote sustainable pest control practices. By integrating microbial control agents with other pest management tactics, such as cultural practices, biological control, and host plant resistance, growers can achieve effective pest suppression while minimizing environmental impacts and preserving natural enemies.

1. Conservation Biological Control:

Microbial control agents can enhance conservation biological control by promoting the survival and efficacy of natural enemies, such as parasitoids, predators, and entomopathogenic nematodes. By reducing pest populations and providing alternative food sources, microbial control agents create favorable conditions for natural enemy establishment and persistence, enhancing their impact on pest suppression.

2. Resistance Management:

Microbial control agents offer an important tool for managing pesticide resistance in insect pest populations. Unlike chemical pesticides, which exert selective pressure on pest populations and can lead to the development of resistance, microbial control agents typically exhibit low propensity for resistance development due to their complex modes of action and multiple target sites. By rotating or alternating microbial control agents with different modes of action, growers can delay the onset of resistance and prolong the effectiveness of pest control measures.

3. Environmental Sustainability:

Microbial control contributes to environmental sustainability by reducing reliance on chemical pesticides and minimizing negative impacts on non-target organisms, ecosystems, and human health. Unlike chemical pesticides, which may persist in the environment and accumulate in food chains, microbial control agents are generally biodegradable, non-toxic to non-target organisms, and pose minimal risks to human health and the environment. By promoting the use of microbial control agents in agriculture, growers can reduce environmental contamination, conserve biodiversity, and support ecosystem health.

4. Economic Viability:

Microbial control offers economic benefits to growers by reducing input costs, increasing crop yields, and improving overall farm profitability. Although microbial control agents may have higher upfront costs compared to chemical

pesticides, they can provide long-term benefits in terms of sustainable pest control, reduced pesticide residues, and enhanced market value of produce. By adopting microbial control as part of their pest management strategy, growers can achieve economic savings, enhance resource efficiency, and maintain competitiveness in the global marketplace.

Future Directions and Challenges:

Despite the numerous benefits of microbial control, several challenges remain in its widespread adoption and implementation in agriculture. Key challenges include:

- Development of novel microbial control agents with improved efficacy, specificity, and environmental safety.

- Optimization of application methods, formulations, and delivery systems to maximize efficacy and minimize off-target effects.

- Integration of microbial control agents with other pest management tactics in diverse cropping systems and agroecological contexts.

- Education and outreach efforts to raise awareness among growers, stakeholders, and policymakers about the benefits and potential of microbial control in sustainable agriculture.

As researchers continue to explore the potential of microbial control in pest management, exciting opportunities lie ahead for innovation, collaboration, and discovery. By harnessing the power of nature's defenders, we can create resilient, sustainable agricultural systems that nourish people, protect the planet, and promote prosperity for generations to come.

References:

Akbar, W., Lord, J. C., Nechols, J. R., & Howard, R. W. (2004). Diatomaceous earth increases the efficacy of Beauveria bassiana against Tribolium castaneum larvae and increases conidia attachment. Journal of Economic Entomology, 97(2), 273-280.

Butt, T. M., Coates, C. J., & Dubovskiy, I. M. (2016). Entomopathogenic fungi: new insights into host-pathogen interactions. Advances in Genetics, 94, 307-364.

Faria, M. R., Wraight, S. P., & Roberts, D. W. (2009). Bases científicas para el uso de hongos entomopatógenos en el control biológico de plagas. In Control Biológico de Plagas y Enfermedades en la Agricultura Ecológica (pp. 47-62). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Jaronski, S. T. (2010). Ecological factors in the inundative use of fungal entomopathogens. BioControl, 55(1), 159-185.

Keller, S., Kessler, P., Schweizer, C., & Marr, C. (2003). Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis: novel findings for an ancient entomopathogen. Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 26(4), 501-514.

Lacey, L. A., Grzywacz, D., Shapiro-Ilan, D. I., Frutos, R., & Brownbridge, M. (2015). Insect pathogens as biological control agents: back to the future. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 132, 1-41.

Lacey, L. A., & Shapiro-Ilan, D. I. (2008). Microbial control of insect pests in temperate orchard systems: potential for incorporation into IPM. Annual Review of Entomology, 53, 121-144.

Pell, J. K., Hannam, J. J., Steinkraus, D. C., & Reid, A. P. (2010). Concepts and applications of microbial control of insect pests. In Use of microbes for control and eradication of invasive arthropods (pp. 1-36). Springer, Dordrecht.

Roy, H. E., Steinkraus, D. C., Eilenberg, J., Hajek, A. E., & Pell, J. K. (2006). Bizarre interactions and endgames: entomopathogenic fungi and their arthropod hosts. Annual Review of Entomology, 51, 331-357.

Skinner, M., Parker, B. L., Kim, J. S., Garcia, C., Opit, G. P., & Behle, R. W. (2013). Enhanced insecticidal activity of Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae against coleopteran beetles through the use of synergistic combinations of lectins and protease inhibitors. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 114(1), 1-7.

Steinhaus, E. A. (1956). Principles of insect pathology. McGraw-Hill Book Company.

St Leger, R. J., Wang, C., & Fang, W. (2011). Stimulation of immune responses in insects by entomopathogenic fungi. In Advances in genetics (Vol. 76, pp. 1-69). Academic Press.

Vandenberg, J. D., & Jenkins, N. E. (2017). Interactions between entomopathogenic fungi and other natural enemies: implications for biological control. In Fungi as biocontrol agents: progress, problems and potential (pp. 189-208). CABI.

Vega, F. E., Meyling, N. V., Luangsa-Ard, J. J., & Blackwell, M. (2012). Fungal entomopathogens. In Insect pathology (pp. 171-220). Academic Press.

Zimmermann, G. (2007). Review on safety of the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 17(9), 879-920.